

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,526	07/08/2003	Jameson Bergin	11196.10.1	8184
23862 7	7590 08/11/2004		EXAMINER	
NYDEGGER & ASSOCIATES			LOUIS JACQUES, JACQUES H	
348 OLIVE ST SAN DIEGO,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
•			3661	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/615,526	BERGIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jacques H Louis-Jacques	3661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Ju	ly 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r <u>.</u>	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> is/aı		ed to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	•				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10272003. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed October 27, 2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

No reference has been listed on a PTO 1449 (See page 3 of 6 of the IDS).

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because (1) the boxes in figure 2 should be properly labeled. For example, box 30 should be labeled "Digital Receiver", (2) a flow chart diagram is required to describe the claimed method steps. Applicant is reminded that should the drawings be corrected, the specification must also be amended to reflect the changes to the drawings.

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as

not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 3. basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Holt 4. [6,580,393].

Holt discloses a system and method for determining the location of a transmitter using passive reflectors or reflectors as proxy receivers and using database querying. According to Holt, there is provided a database (memory) that stores a plurality of emitters along with their geolocations (e.g., longitude, latitude) and signal strengths (e.g., bandwidths,

Art Unit: 3661

frequencies). See columns 1 and 2. According to Holt, there is provided a receiver (processor) that receiving a signal from an emitter and matches the signal with information stored in the database. See columns 3. Based on this matching an emitter is identified and a direction of arrival is estimated. See column 6. Based on the identified emitter and the direction of arrival, according to Holt, the location of an aircraft is determined. See columns 17-18. According further to Holt, a plurality of signals are received and each signal for a respective emitter is separated forth other received signals. The geolocation information, according to Holt, includes latitude, longitude and altitude and the information used to identify the emitter includes frequency, bandwidth, waveform, and signal strength, site-specific terrain information (column 6, 7, 8, 12) and wherein the signal (communication link) is selected from radio (broadcast) frequency signal, cellular phone (mobile) signal, personal communication system (columns 4, 5, 6).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

4,215,345	MacDoran	Jul. 1980
6,147,646	Arneson et al	Nov. 2000
6,185,486	Labounsky et al	Feb. 2001
6,232,917	Baumer et al	May 2001
6,255,992	Madden	Jul. 2001
6,657,549	Avery	Dec. 2003

Application/Control Number: 10/615,526 Page 5

Art Unit: 3661

6,714,782 Monot et al Mar. 2004

US20020009994 Sample Jan. 2002

US20030144007 Johanson et al Jul. 2003

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacques H Louis-Jacques whose telephone number is 703-305-9757. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on 703-305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jacques H Louis-Jacques
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3661

/jlj

